

Flipped Approach: An Analysis of Current Practices, Research Trends and Future Possibilities

Ms. Devika

Research Scholar

Vivek University, Bijnor

Email: devika03.DD@gmail.com

Dr. Shalini

Assistant Professor

Vivek University, Bijnor

Email: shalini1991rajput@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid development of digital technologies and the increasing emphasis on learner-centred education have encouraged educators to reconsider conventional lecture-based teaching. The flipped teaching approach has emerged as an important pedagogical model in which students encounter instructional content before classroom sessions, while face-to-face time is used primarily for discussion, problem-solving, collaboration, practical activities and higher-order thinking. The present review analyses the conceptual foundations, current practices, research trends, benefits, challenges and future possibilities associated with flipped teaching. Existing meta-analytic evidence generally indicates positive effects of flipped classrooms on student learning, although the magnitude of these effects varies according to instructional design, discipline, educational level and methodological characteristics (Låg & Sæle, 2019; Strelan et al., 2020; van Alten et al., 2019). Recent bibliometric research also demonstrates substantial growth in flipped classroom scholarship, with increasing attention to active learning, student engagement, motivation, self-regulation, educational technology and interdisciplinary applications (Zhang et al., 2024). The review argues that flipped teaching should not be understood merely as transferring lectures from the physical classroom to digital platforms. Rather, it represents a deliberate redesign of teaching and learning in which pre-class preparation is pedagogically connected with active classroom experiences. Future research should investigate long-term learning, equity, learner self-regulation, discipline-specific implementation and the responsible integration of artificial intelligence and learning analytics.

Keywords

Flipped Teaching, Flipped Classroom, Active Learning, Blended Learning, Student Engagement, Digital Learning, Self-Regulated Learning, Educational Technology

Reference to this paper
should be made as follows:

Received: 18.09.2026

Approved: 26.09.2026

Ms. Devika

Dr. Shalini

*Flipped Approach: An
Analysis of Current Practices,
Research Trends and Future
Possibilities*

RJPP April 26-Sept. 26,

Vol. XXIV, No. II,

Article No. 38

Pg. 350-363

Similarity Check - 02%

Online available at:

[https://anubooks.com/
journal-volume/rjpp-vol-
xxiv-no2-sept-2026](https://anubooks.com/journal-volume/rjpp-vol-xxiv-no2-sept-2026)

[https://doi.org/10.31995/
rjpp.2026.v24i02.038](https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpp.2026.v24i02.038)

**This article has been peer-reviewed by the Review Committee of RJPP.*

1. Introduction

The transformation of education through digital technologies has encouraged educators to reconsider conventional approaches to classroom instruction. Traditional teaching commonly places the teacher at the centre of information delivery, with classroom time devoted largely to explanation and students completing application-oriented tasks outside the classroom. Flipped teaching reorganizes this sequence by providing students with opportunities to encounter foundational content before class and using classroom time for interaction, application, problem-solving and feedback (**Bergmann & Sams, 2012**).

The flipped classroom has become an important component of the broader movement toward blended and active learning. In a typical flipped design, students may study recorded lectures, readings, presentations or other digital resources before attending class. The face-to-face session is then used for activities requiring interaction with teachers and peers, such as problem-solving, collaborative learning, discussion and practical application (**Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015**).

The important distinction is that flipping a classroom does not simply mean recording a conventional lecture and placing it online. The pedagogical value of the approach depends on the relationship between pre-class preparation and subsequent classroom activity. Van Alten et al. (2019), for example, found that learning outcomes were positively associated with flipped classroom designs in which face-to-face classroom time was maintained and quizzes were incorporated into the instructional design.

The research base concerning flipped teaching has expanded substantially. Strelan et al. (2020) conducted a meta-analysis of 198 studies involving 33,678 students and reported a moderate positive overall effect of flipped classrooms on student performance. Their analysis further indicated that structured active learning and problem-solving were important contributors to the observed effect.

Other meta-analytic evidence presents a more cautious interpretation. Van Alten et al. (2019) reported a small positive effect on learning outcomes across 114 studies, while Låg and Sæle (2019) found a small overall learning effect that became smaller when analyses were restricted to studies with adequate statistical power.

These differences demonstrate that the effectiveness of flipped teaching cannot be understood independently of instructional design, research methodology and educational context. Consequently, the present review examines not only whether flipped teaching is associated with improved outcomes but also the practices, mechanisms, research trends and contextual factors that may influence its effectiveness.

2. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the conceptual foundations of flipped teaching.
2. To analyse current practices associated with flipped teaching.
3. To synthesize major findings from previous empirical and review research.
4. To identify current research trends in flipped teaching.
5. To examine the benefits and challenges associated with implementation.
6. To identify research gaps and future possibilities.

3. Research Questions

1. What are the major characteristics of flipped teaching?
2. How is flipped teaching currently implemented?
3. What does existing research indicate regarding its effects on student learning?
4. What themes dominate recent flipped teaching research?
5. What challenges influence successful implementation?
6. What future directions are emerging in the field?

4. Methodology

The study adopts a narrative literature review approach. Relevant scholarly literature concerning flipped classrooms, flipped learning, active learning, blended learning and digital education was examined.

Particular attention was given to peer-reviewed meta-analyses, systematic reviews and bibliometric studies because these forms of research provide broader evidence regarding the development and effectiveness of flipped teaching. Meta-analytic studies by Låg and Sæle (2019), van Alten et al. (2019) and Strelan et al. (2020) were used to examine evidence concerning learning outcomes.

Recent bibliometric evidence was also considered to identify emerging research themes. Zhang et al. (2024), for example, analysed 937 flipped-classroom publications indexed in the Web of Science database and examined publication trends, research collaboration and thematic developments.

The literature was organized thematically under the following areas:

- conceptual foundations;
- instructional practices;
- learning outcomes;
- student engagement;
- self-regulated learning;
- research trends;
- implementation challenges; and

- future possibilities.

Because the study uses a narrative rather than systematic-review methodology, it does not claim to provide an exhaustive statistical synthesis of all available research.

5. Conceptual Understanding of Flipped Teaching

Flipped teaching reverses the conventional sequence of content delivery and classroom application. In traditional instruction, teachers generally introduce concepts during classroom sessions and students subsequently undertake independent practice. In flipped instruction, students encounter foundational content before class and classroom time is reorganized around application and interaction (Bergmann & Sams, 2012).

Abeysekera and Dawson (2015) argue that the flipped classroom should be understood through its effects on student motivation and cognitive load rather than simply as a technological intervention. From this perspective, the model changes when and how students engage with instructional material while potentially increasing opportunities for active participation.

A useful representation of the instructional sequence is:

Pre-class learning→Preparation→Classroom interaction→Application→Feedback→Reflection

This sequence gives students greater responsibility for initial content engagement while allowing teachers to use classroom time for diagnosing misconceptions and supporting deeper learning.

However, the term “flipped classroom” encompasses considerable variation. Different studies have used different combinations of videos, readings, quizzes, group work, peer instruction, laboratory activities and online platforms (van Alten et al., 2019). Consequently, flipped teaching should be regarded as a family of instructional designs rather than as a single standardized teaching method.

6. Theoretical Foundations

6.1 Constructivist Learning

Constructivist perspectives view learners as active participants in knowledge construction. Flipped teaching is compatible with this perspective because classroom time can be used for discussion, collaborative problem-solving and application rather than being devoted exclusively to information transmission.

The model therefore creates opportunities for students to connect new information with existing knowledge through interaction and guided practice (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015).

6.2 Active Learning

Active learning is one of the most important theoretical and practical foundations of flipped teaching. Students may be required to explain concepts, solve problems, analyse cases, participate in peer instruction or complete practical activities.

Strelan et al. (2020) found that the opportunity for structured active learning and problem-solving was an important factor associated with the positive effect of flipped classrooms on student performance.

This finding suggests that the benefit of flipped teaching may arise less from the technology itself and more from how classroom time is redesigned.

6.3 Self-Regulated Learning

Flipped learning places additional demands on students because they must often organize their own pre-class learning. Students need to manage time, monitor comprehension and identify areas requiring clarification.

Self-regulated learning is therefore particularly relevant to flipped environments. Students who fail to complete pre-class preparation may enter the classroom without the foundational knowledge needed for subsequent activities.

6.4 Bloom's Taxonomy

Flipped teaching is frequently associated with the movement of lower-level content acquisition outside the classroom and higher-level cognitive activity into classroom sessions. This can provide more opportunities for application, analysis, evaluation and creation.

However, this relationship should not be treated as a rigid rule. The appropriate location of learning activities depends on the learning objectives, subject matter and learner characteristics.

7. Current Practices in Flipped Teaching

7.1 Pre-Class Videos

Short instructional videos are among the most recognizable components of flipped teaching. They enable students to review explanations before class and, where technology permits, revisit difficult concepts.

However, simply converting a traditional lecture into a long recording may not improve learning. The effectiveness of pre-class materials depends on their alignment with subsequent classroom activities and assessment (van Alten et al., 2019).

7.2 Digital Reading Materials

Flipped instruction can also incorporate textbooks, research articles, digital modules, presentations, infographics and interactive resources. These materials may

be accompanied by guiding questions or learning objectives to make preparation purposeful.

7.3 Pre-Class Quizzes

Quizzes can encourage students to engage with preparatory materials and can provide teachers with information regarding student understanding.

Van Alten et al. (2019) found that flipped designs incorporating quizzes were associated with higher learning outcomes than designs without this feature.

Låg and Sæle (2019) similarly found some support for the idea that testing student preparation may contribute to the effectiveness of flipped instruction, although they emphasized the need to interpret such findings cautiously because of potential publication bias.

7.4 Collaborative Classroom Learning

Classroom activities can include:

- group discussion;
- peer instruction;
- problem-solving;
- case analysis;
- debates;
- laboratory activities;
- simulations;
- project work; and
- concept mapping.

These activities are consistent with the active-learning mechanisms identified in meta-analytic research (Strelan et al., 2020).

7.5 Teacher Facilitation

The teacher remains central to the flipped classroom but performs a somewhat different role. Rather than spending most classroom time transmitting information, the teacher can facilitate activities, diagnose misconceptions, provide feedback and support individual learners.

Thus, flipped teaching should not be interpreted as reducing the importance of teachers. Instead, it changes how teacher expertise is deployed.

8. Evidence from Previous Research

The empirical literature generally suggests that flipped teaching can have positive effects on student learning, although effect sizes differ across studies.

Strelan et al. (2020) synthesized 198 studies involving 33,678 students and found an overall moderate positive effect of flipped classrooms on student performance ($g = 0.50$). Their analysis covered different educational levels and disciplines and suggested that structured active learning and problem-solving were important contributors to effectiveness.

Van Alten et al. (2019), in a meta-analysis of 114 studies, reported a small positive effect on learning outcomes. They also found considerable heterogeneity between studies, indicating that flipped teaching does not produce identical effects across all contexts. Their analysis found higher learning outcomes when face-to-face classroom time was not reduced and when quizzes were included in the instructional design.

Låg and Sæle (2019) analysed studies comparing flipped and traditional lecture-based teaching and reported a small positive effect on learning (Hedges' $g = 0.35$). When the analysis was restricted to studies with sufficient statistical power, the estimated effect declined to 0.24. The authors therefore emphasized the importance of considering study quality and potential publication bias when interpreting the literature.

Taken together, these findings indicate that flipped teaching is associated with improved learning outcomes in many contexts, but they do not support the assumption that simply adopting a flipped format will automatically improve learning.

9. Student Engagement and Learning

Student engagement is an important dimension of flipped teaching because classroom time is often devoted to interaction and active participation.

Research on flipped learning has increasingly examined not only examination performance but also student participation, motivation and engagement (Zhang et al., 2024). The growth of these themes reflects a broader shift in educational research from measuring outcomes alone to examining the processes through which learning occurs.

Nevertheless, increased activity should not automatically be equated with improved learning. Classroom activities must have clear learning objectives and should provide students with opportunities to receive feedback and correct misconceptions.

10. Current Research Trends

10.1 Expansion of Research

Bibliometric evidence demonstrates considerable growth in flipped classroom research. **Zhang et al. (2024)** analysed 937 publications indexed in the Web of Science database and found a substantial increase in publications since

2012. Their analysis showed that flipped classroom research has expanded across multiple disciplines and geographical regions.

The same study identified medical education as a particularly prominent application area and suggested continued opportunities for research in disciplines such as linguistics, mathematics and computer science (Zhang et al., 2024).

10.2 Active Learning

Active learning remains one of the strongest themes in the flipped classroom literature. This emphasis is consistent with meta-analytic evidence indicating that structured classroom activities and problem-solving contribute substantially to the effectiveness of flipped designs (Strelan et al., 2020).

10.3 Student Engagement

Recent research increasingly examines student engagement, motivation and interaction rather than focusing exclusively on academic achievement. Bibliometric evidence indicates that these themes have become important areas within flipped classroom scholarship (Zhang et al., 2024).

10.4 Self-Regulated Learning

The requirement for students to prepare before class has increased interest in self-regulated learning. Successful implementation depends partly on students' ability to manage time, engage with learning resources and monitor their own understanding.

This creates an important pedagogical issue: flipped classrooms can increase learner autonomy, but they can also place additional demands on students who have not yet developed effective self-regulatory strategies.

10.5 Discipline-Specific Research

Flipped teaching has been studied across health sciences, engineering, mathematics, humanities, information technology and teacher education. Strelan et al. (2020) found positive effects across disciplines, although effect sizes varied substantially between subject areas.

Zhang et al. (2024) likewise found that flipped classroom research has expanded across multiple disciplines, with medical education representing a particularly prominent area.

10.6 Technology Integration

Technology remains a major enabling factor in flipped teaching. Learning management systems, video platforms, online quizzes and interactive resources facilitate pre-class learning and formative assessment.

However, technology should be regarded as an enabling infrastructure rather than the pedagogical objective. The effectiveness of a flipped classroom depends more fundamentally on instructional design and meaningful learner engagement.

11. Benefits of Flipped Teaching

11.1 Increased Opportunities for Active Learning

The reallocation of classroom time can provide more opportunities for structured problem-solving and interaction. This is consistent with the findings of Strelan et al. (2020), who identified active learning as an important contributor to flipped classroom effectiveness.

11.2 Flexible Access to Content

Digital materials allow students to access instructional content before class and, depending on the technology available, review difficult material more than once.

11.3 More Classroom Interaction

Classroom time can be used for discussion and collaborative work rather than being devoted primarily to information transmission.

11.4 Formative Assessment

Pre-class quizzes and classroom activities provide opportunities for teachers to identify misconceptions and adjust instruction (van Alten et al., 2019).

11.5 Opportunities for Higher-Order Learning

When basic content acquisition occurs before class, classroom time can potentially be devoted to application, analysis and evaluation.

12. Challenges of Flipped Teaching

12.1 Student Preparation

A major challenge is ensuring that students actually engage with pre-class material. If preparation is incomplete, students may struggle with classroom activities. The literature therefore emphasizes the importance of mechanisms such as quizzes and structured preparation tasks (Låg & Sæle, 2019; van Alten et al., 2019).

12.2 Digital Access

Flipped teaching depends, to varying degrees, on students having access to appropriate devices, connectivity and suitable learning environments. Consequently, unequal access to technology can affect implementation.

12.3 Teacher Workload

Developing videos, readings, quizzes and classroom activities can require substantial preparation. Teachers may therefore require institutional support and professional development.

12.4 Quality of Pre-Class Materials

The pedagogical quality of instructional resources is important. Simply recording a conventional lecture does not necessarily produce the benefits associated with effective flipped instruction.

12.5 Student Self-Regulation

Students accustomed to teacher-directed learning may initially struggle with independent preparation. Appropriate scaffolding is therefore necessary.

12.6 Assessment Alignment

If assessment focuses exclusively on factual recall, students may have limited incentives to engage deeply with collaborative and problem-based classroom activities.

12.7 Variability Across Contexts

The considerable heterogeneity reported by meta-analyses indicates that flipped teaching outcomes vary across contexts and instructional designs (van Alten et al., 2019; Låg & Sæle, 2019).

13. Changing Role of the Teacher

Flipped teaching changes rather than eliminates the teacher's role. In a conventional lecture-oriented classroom, teachers may spend substantial time explaining content. In a flipped classroom, teachers can devote more time to facilitation, feedback, diagnosis and individualized support.

- Conventional Orientation| Flipped Teaching Orientation
- Information provider| Learning facilitator
- Lecture-centred| Activity-centred
- Teacher-centred| Learner-centred
- Classroom content delivery| Classroom application
- Periodic assessment| Frequent formative assessment
- Independent homework practice| Guided classroom practice

The teacher consequently becomes an instructional designer and facilitator as well as a subject expert.

14. Role of Students

Students in flipped environments generally assume greater responsibility for their learning. They may be expected to access preparatory materials, complete quizzes, identify questions, participate in classroom activities and reflect on their learning.

This increased responsibility can support learner autonomy, but it also means that teachers should explicitly teach students how to prepare effectively. Flipped

teaching should therefore not assume that all students already possess strong self-regulation skills.

15. Research Gaps

Despite extensive research, several important gaps remain.

15.1 Long-Term Learning

Many studies measure immediate achievement. More longitudinal research is needed to determine whether flipped teaching influences retention and transfer over longer periods.

15.2 Methodological Heterogeneity

The meta-analyses demonstrate substantial variation among studies in terms of intervention design, assessment and educational context (van Alten et al., 2019; Låg & Sæle, 2019).

Future studies should provide detailed descriptions of pre-class resources, classroom activities, duration, assessment and teacher preparation.

15.3 Equity and Accessibility

Future research should examine how socioeconomic circumstances, technological access and learner diversity influence participation and outcomes.

15.4 Higher-Order Outcomes

More research is needed on creativity, critical thinking, collaboration, communication and problem-solving rather than concentrating primarily on examination scores.

15.5 Diverse Educational Contexts

The expansion of research across disciplines documented by Zhang et al. (2024) is encouraging, but additional research is needed in underrepresented educational contexts and populations.

16. Future Possibilities

The future of flipped teaching is likely to involve increasingly integrated digital and pedagogical systems.

16.1 Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence may support the creation of individualized explanations, practice questions and formative feedback. However, the educational value of AI-supported flipped learning requires empirical investigation rather than assuming that technological sophistication automatically produces better learning.

16.2 Adaptive Learning

Future systems may adjust pre-class resources according to learners' prior knowledge and performance, potentially creating individualized learning pathways.

16.3 Learning Analytics

Learning analytics may help teachers identify patterns of preparation and difficulty. Such information could support targeted classroom interventions.

16.4 Micro-Flipped Learning

Teachers may increasingly flip individual lessons or topics rather than redesigning an entire course. This could provide a more manageable approach to implementation.

16.5 Inclusive Flipped Learning

Future flipped courses should consider accessibility through captions, transcripts, alternative formats and low-bandwidth resources.

16.6 Discipline-Specific Models

Rather than treating flipped teaching as a single universal method, future scholarship should investigate how the approach needs to be adapted to different disciplines, learner groups and educational levels.

17. Discussion

The evidence reviewed in this paper indicates that flipped teaching has developed from an emerging instructional innovation into an established area of educational research. Bibliometric evidence shows substantial growth in publications and increasing diversification across disciplines (**Zhang et al., 2024**).

At the same time, meta-analytic research indicates that the magnitude of the learning benefit varies. Strelan et al. (2020) reported a moderate positive effect, whereas van Alten et al. (2019) and Låg and Sæle (2019) reported smaller effects. These differences should not necessarily be viewed as contradictory. The studies used different inclusion criteria, datasets and analytical procedures. More importantly, they collectively demonstrate substantial heterogeneity in flipped classroom implementation.

The strongest common implication is that flipping the sequence of instruction is not sufficient by itself. The effectiveness of the model appears to depend substantially on what happens after students arrive in the classroom. **Strelan et al. (2020)** specifically identified structured active learning and problem-solving as important components of successful flipped instruction.

Similarly, evidence from **van Alten et al. (2019)** suggests that maintaining face-to-face time and incorporating quizzes can contribute to stronger learning outcomes.

Thus, the central pedagogical principle is not simply:

“Lecture at home, homework at school.”

Rather, effective flipped teaching can be understood as:

“Prepare before class, engage during class, receive feedback, apply knowledge and reflect on learning.”

This distinction is important for both teachers and researchers.

18. Educational Implications

For teachers, the evidence suggests that the focus should be on instructional design rather than technology alone. Pre-class materials should be concise, accessible and directly connected to classroom activities.

For institutions, successful implementation requires appropriate infrastructure, professional development and technical support.

For teacher educators, preparation programmes should include digital pedagogy, active learning, formative assessment and strategies for supporting self-regulated learning.

For researchers, greater methodological consistency would make it easier to compare findings across studies. Reporting the duration of interventions, nature of pre-class materials, classroom activities and assessment procedures would strengthen the evidence base.

19. Conclusion

Flipped teaching represents an important development in learner-centred and technology-supported education. The approach reorganizes the relationship between pre-class learning and face-to-face instruction and can create additional opportunities for active learning, problem-solving and interaction.

Research evidence generally indicates positive effects on learning outcomes, but the size of those effects varies across studies and contexts (Låg & Sæle, 2019; van Alten et al., 2019; Strelan et al., 2020).

The evidence therefore does not justify viewing flipped teaching as a universally superior replacement for traditional instruction. Instead, it supports viewing flipped teaching as a flexible instructional framework whose effectiveness depends on implementation quality.

The future development of flipped teaching is likely to involve greater integration of active learning, adaptive technologies, learning analytics and artificial intelligence. Nevertheless, technological development should remain subordinate to pedagogical purpose. The fundamental question should continue to be how instructional design can help learners understand, apply, evaluate and create knowledge.

Ultimately, the value of flipped teaching lies not in where a lecture takes place, but in how effectively learning time is redesigned to promote meaningful student engagement and deeper learning.

References

1. Abeysekera, L., & Dawson, P. (2015). Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom: Definition, rationale and a call for research. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 34(1), Pg. 1–14.
2. Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). *Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day*. International Society for Technology in Education.
3. Låg, T., & Sæle, R. G. (2019). Does the flipped classroom improve student learning and satisfaction? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *AERA Open*, 5(3), Pg. 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858419870489>
4. Strelan, P., Osborn, A., & Palmer, E. (2020). The flipped classroom: A meta-analysis of effects on student performance across disciplines and education levels. *Educational Research Review*, 30, 100314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100314>
5. van Alten, D. C. D., Phielix, C., Janssen, J., & Kester, L. (2019). Effects of flipping the classroom on learning outcomes and satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 28, 100281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2019.05.003>
6. Zhang, F., Wang, H., Zhang, H., & Sun, Q. (2024). The landscape of flipped classroom research: A bibliometrics analysis. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, 1165547. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1165547> This version uses author–date citations throughout the actual discussion, with the references formatted in an APA-style structure. For a journal submission, I would recommend one further pass specifically to expand the literature base with 2024–2026 peer-reviewed studies, add a PRISMA-style methodology if the target journal requires a systematic review, and format the manuscript according to the journal’s exact author guidelines.